arXiv:1409.7407v2 [math.LO] 14 Oct 2014

DIVIDING AND WEAK QUASI-DIMENSIONS IN ARBITRARY THEORIES

ISAAC GOLDBRING AND HENRY TOWSNER

Abstract. We show that any countable model of a model complete theory has an elementary extension with a "pseudofinite-like" quasi-dimension that detects dividing.

1. Introduction

In a pseudofinite structure, every set S has a size $|S|$, a nonstandard cardinality. It is reasonable to say that S and T are "similar in size" if $|\log|S| - \log|T||$ is bounded (by a natural number). This gives the notion of *fine pseudofinite dimension* [3, 2], the quotient of $\log|S|$ by a suitable convex set. García shows [1] that the fine pseudofinite dimension detects dividing: roughly, if $\phi(x,b)$ divides over $\psi(x,a)$ then there is a b' with $tp(b'/a) = tp(b/a)$ so that the dimension of $\phi(x,b')$ is strictly stronger than the dimension of $\psi(x,a)$.

We give a limited extension of this to model complete theories (and, via Morleyization, to any theory): any countable model whose theory is model complete embeds elementarily in a "large" fragment of a pseudofinite structure in such a way that the notion of dimension pulls back to the original model, and if $\phi(x,b)$ divides over $\psi(x,a)$ then there is a b' in the elementary extension with $tp(b'/a) = tp(b/a)$ so that the dimension of $\phi(x,b')$ is strictly stronger than the dimension of $\psi(x,a)$.

Note that it is easy to embed a countable structure in a pseudofinite structure (embed M in the ultraproduct of its finite restrictions), but that this is not an elementary embedding. It is also easy to obtain a dimension-like function that detects dividing by linearizing the partial order on definable sets given by dividing. The dimension here, however, is an abelian group, and even a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^*$.

We would like to thank Dario García for useful comments on an earlier draft of this note.

2. Construction

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a countable first-order relational signature and let T be a complete, model complete theory in $\mathcal{L}$. Set $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L} \cup \{V_\alpha \ : \ \alpha < \omega + \omega\}$, where

Goldbring's work was partially supported by NSF CAREER grant DMS-1349399.

the V_α are fresh unary relation symbols. All $\mathcal{L}'$-structures considered will have the property that the interpretations of the V_α's will form a chain.

Fix an enumeration of quantifier free formulas in $\mathcal{L}$ with distinguished choices of free variables $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$, $\varphi_i(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$, so that each formula φ_i appears infinitely often. Fix an ordering of $\omega + \omega$ of order-type ω. Combining these, we obtain an ordering of order-type ω of pairs $\sigma_i = (\varphi_i(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \alpha_i)$. We write $\alpha(\sigma_i)$ for α_i.

We say that an $\mathcal{L}$-structure M *strongly satisfies* σ_i if, whenever $\vec{a} \in V^M_{\alpha_i}$ is such that there is $N \supseteq M$ with $N \models T$ and $\vec{b} \in N$ such that $N \models \varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ then there is a $\vec{b} \in V^M_{\alpha_i+1}$ such that $M \models \varphi_i(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$.

For each $n \in \omega$, we define an $\mathcal{L}'$-structure $M_n \models T_\forall$ with the property that if $i < n$, M_n strongly satisfies σ_i. Let M_0 denote a one-element substructure of a model of T whose unique element satisfies each V_n.

Suppose we have constructed M_{n-1}. Consider the first n pairs $\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ and fix a permutation $\sigma_{r_0}, \ldots, \sigma_{r_{n-1}}$ so that $i \leq j$ implies that $\alpha(\sigma_{r_i}) \leq \alpha(\sigma_{r_j})$. We construct $\mathcal{L}'$-structures $M^i_n \models T_\forall$, for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, by recursion on i in such a way that M^i_n strongly satisfies $\sigma_{r_0}, \ldots, \sigma_{r_{i-1}}$. We will then set $M_n := M^n_n$.

Let $M^0_n = M_{n-1}$. Suppose that M^i_n has been constructed and set $\alpha := \alpha(\sigma_{r_i})$ and let $\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ be the formula in σ_{r_i}. Enumerate the tuples of length $|\vec{x}|$ in $V_\alpha^{M^i_n}$ as $\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_k$. We now recursively construct a sequences of models $M^{i,j}_n$; we begin with $M^{i,0}_n = M^i_n$. Given $M^{i,j}_n$, we proceed as follows:

- If there is a $\vec{b} \in M^{i,j}_n$ such that $M^{i,j}_n \models \phi(\vec{a}_j, \vec{b})$ and $\vec{b}$ is contained in $V_{\alpha+1}^{M^{i,j}_n}$ then $M^{i,j+1}_n = M^{i,j}_n$,
- Otherwise, if there is an extension M of $M^{i,j}_n$ and a $\vec{b}$ in M such that $M \models \phi(\vec{a}_j, \vec{b})$ then $M^{i,j+1}_n = M^{i,j}_n \cup \{\vec{b}\}$ and any element of $\vec{b}$ which is not in $V_{\alpha+1}^{M^{i,j}_n}$ is in $V_{\alpha+1}^{M^{i,j+1}_n} \setminus V_\alpha^{M^{i,j+1}_n}$,
- Otherwise, set $M^{i,j+1}_n = M^{i,j}_n$.

Set $M^{i+1}_n := M^{i,k+1}_n$. Note that M^{i+1}_n strongly satisfies $\sigma_{r_0}, \ldots, \sigma_{r_i}$ as desired because $V_\alpha^{M^{i+1}_n} = V_\alpha^{M^i_n}$.

Let $M := \prod_{\mathcal{U}} M_n$. By definition, $V^M_\alpha = \{x \in M \ : \ M \vDash x \in V_\alpha\}$. We write $V^M_{<\omega}$ for $\bigcup_{n<\omega} V^M_n$. We define $M' = \{x \in M \mid \exists n \ x \in V^M_{\omega+n}\}$. Note that $V^M_\alpha = V^{M'}_\alpha$ for all α.

Since T is model-complete, it has a set of $\forall\exists$-axioms. Suppose that $\forall\vec{x}\exists\vec{y}\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ is such an axiom. Fix $\alpha < \omega + \omega$ and take i such that $\sigma_i = (\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \alpha)$. Fix $n > i$ and consider $N \models T$ such that $M_n \subseteq N$. Since $N \models T$ and M_n strongly satisfies σ_i, we have $M_n \models \forall\vec{x} \in V_\alpha \exists\vec{y} \in V_{\alpha+1}\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$. This proves:

Proposition 2.1. *$V^M_{<\omega}$ and M' are both models of T.*

There is no guarantee that V_ω^M is a model of T. Note that M' is existentially closed in M. Indeed, $M \models T_\forall$ and every model of T is an existentially closed model of $T_\forall$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $p(\vec{x})$ is a countable set of existential $\mathcal{L}$-formulae with parameters from V_α^M that is finitely satisfiable in M' (equivalently, in M). Then there is $\vec{c} \in V_{\alpha+1}^M$ such that $M' \models p(\vec{c})$.*

Proof. Let $p'(\vec{x}) := p(\vec{x}) \cup \{\vec{x} \in V_{\alpha+1}\}$. Since M is countably saturated, it suffices to show that $p'(\vec{x})$ is finitely satisfiable in M. Indeed, we then get $\vec{c} \in V_{\alpha+1}^M$ such that $M \models p'(c)$, Since the formulae in p are existential and, to show that $M \models \exists \vec{x} \in V_{\alpha+1} \exists \vec{z} \phi(\vec{x}, \vec{z}, \vec{a})$ it clearly suffices to show $M \models \exists \vec{x} \in V_{\alpha+1} \exists \vec{z} \in V_{\alpha+1} \phi(\vec{x}, \vec{z}, \vec{a})$, it suffices to consider quantifier-free formulae.

So it suffices to show that, if $\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$ is a quantifier-free formula with parameters from V_α^M such that $M' \models \exists \vec{x} \varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$, then $M' \models \exists \vec{x} \in V_{\alpha+1} \varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$. Since $M \models \exists \vec{x} \varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$, we get $M_n \models \exists \vec{x} \varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a}_n)$ for $\mathcal{U}$-almost all n, where $(\vec{a}_n)$ is a representative sequence for $\vec{a}$. Fix i such that $\sigma_i = (\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \alpha)$. Since M_n strongly satisfies σ_i for $n > i$, it follows that, for $\mathcal{U}$-almost all n, we can find $c_n \in V_{\alpha+1}^{M_n}$ such that $M_n \models \varphi(\vec{c}_n, \vec{a}_n)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose N is a model of T and $A \subseteq N$ is countable. Then there is $A' \subseteq V_{<\omega}^{M'}$ such that $\mathrm{tp}_\mathcal{L}^N(A) = \mathrm{tp}_\mathcal{L}^{M'}(A')$.*

Proof. Enumerate $A = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots\}$ and construct $A' \subseteq M'$ inductively: given $a'_0, \ldots, a'_n \in V_{n+1}^{M'}$ with $\mathrm{tp}_\mathcal{L}^{M'}(a'_0, \ldots, a'_n) = tp_\mathcal{L}^N(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$, there exists, by the previous lemma, an $a'_{n+1} \in V_{n+2}^{M'}$ with $\mathrm{tp}_\mathcal{L}^{M'}(a'_{n+1}/a'_0, \ldots, a'_n) = \mathrm{tp}_\mathcal{L}^N(a_{n+1}/a_0, \ldots, a_n)$. (Note that, since T is model-complete, we can replace the complete types with the complete existential types.) □

We now fix a countable model N of T and take $A = N$ in the above lemma, yielding an elementary embedding $a \mapsto a' : N \to V_{<\omega}^M$ with image N'.

Definition 2.4. For an $\mathcal{L}$-formula $\varphi(\vec{x})$, we let $\varphi_\omega(\vec{x}) := \varphi(\vec{x}) \wedge (\vec{x} \in V_\omega)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ and $\psi(\vec{x}, \vec{z})$ are existential $\mathcal{L}$-formulae. If $N \models \forall \vec{x} (\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\vec{x}, \vec{b}))$, then $M \models \forall \vec{x} (\varphi_\omega(\vec{x}, \vec{a}') \leftrightarrow \psi_\omega(\vec{x}, \vec{b}'))$.*

Proof. Since $a \mapsto a'$ is elementary, we get $V_{<\omega}^M \models \forall \vec{x} (\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{a}') \leftrightarrow \psi(\vec{x}, \vec{b}'))$. Since $V_{<\omega}^M \preceq M'$, the same equivalence holds in M'. Finally, if $\vec{c} \in V_\omega^M$, then $M \models \varphi_\omega(\vec{c}, \vec{a}')$ if and only if $M' \models \varphi_\omega(\vec{c}, \vec{a}')$ and likewise with ψ_ω. □

We recall the notion of pseudofinite dimension, especially as considered in [3, 2]. Since M is an ultraproduct of finite sets, any definable set D has a nonstandard cardinality $|D|$ in $\mathbb{R}^*$ (the ultrapower of the reals). We let $\mathcal{C}$ be the convex hull of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{R}^*$. Then for any definable set X, we can define

$$\delta_M(X) = \log |X| / \mathcal{C},$$

the image of $\log|X|$ in $\mathbb{R}^*/\mathcal{C}\cup\{-\infty\}$ (where $\log|X|=-\infty$ if $|X|=0$). This is the *fine pseudofinite dimension*.

The fine pseudofinite dimension satisfies the quasi-dimension axioms:

- $\delta_M(\emptyset)=-\infty$ and $\delta_M(X)>-\infty$ implies $\delta_M(X)\geq 0$,
- $\delta_M(X\cup Y)=\max\{\delta_M(X),\delta_M(Y)\}$,
- For any definable function $f:X\to Z$ and every $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^*/\mathcal{C}\cup\{-\infty\}$, if $\delta_M(f^{-1}(z))\leq\alpha$ for all $z\in Z$ then $\delta_M(X)\leq\alpha+\delta_M(Z)$.

One of the features of fine pseudofinite dimension is that if we fix any definable set X, we may define a measure $\mu_X(Y)$ on definable Y by $\mu_X(Y)=st(\frac{|Y|}{|X|})$ so that $\delta_M(Y)=\delta_M(X)$ if and only if $\mu_X(Y)\in(0,\infty)$.

In light of the lemma above, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 2.6. Suppose $X\subseteq N^k$ is definable. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that X is defined by $\varphi(\vec{x},\vec{a})$, where $\varphi(\vec{x},\vec{y})$ is quantifier-free. We then define $\delta_N(X)=\delta_M(\varphi_\omega(\vec{x},\vec{a}'))$, where the latter dimension is computed in the pseudofinite structure M.

Lemma 2.7. $\delta_N(X\times Y)=\delta_N(X)+\delta_N(Y)$

Proof. Suppose X and Y are defined by $\varphi(\vec{x},\vec{a})$ and $\psi(\vec{y},\vec{b})$ respectively. Then $X\times Y$ is defined by $\rho(\vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{a},\vec{b})=\varphi(\vec{x},\vec{a})\wedge\psi(\vec{y},\vec{b})$. Then

$$\delta_N(X\times Y)=\delta_M(\rho_\omega(\vec{x},\vec{y},\vec{a},\vec{b}))=\delta_M(\varphi_\omega(\vec{x},\vec{a}))+\delta_M(\psi_\omega(\vec{y},\vec{b}))=\delta_N(X)+\delta_N(Y).$$

using the pseudofinite axioms for δ_M. □

δ_N need not satisfy the final quasi-dimension axiom, however—it is possible that there are many values $z\in Z_\omega$ so that $\delta(f^{-1}(z))$ is large and so $\delta_M(X_\omega)$ is large as well, but that none of these are in the image of M, so $\delta_N(X)$ is large even though $\delta_N(f^{-1}(z))$ is small for all $z\in Z$.

Nonetheless, there is a connection between δ_N and dividing, essentially the one shown by García in [1] for pseudofinite dimension.

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that $\psi(x,a)$ and $\varphi(x,b)$ are existential $\mathcal{L}$-formulae with parameters from V_ω^M such that $\varphi(x,b)$ implies $\psi(x,a)$ and $\varphi(x,b)$ divides over a. Then there is $b^\#\in V_\omega^M$ with $b^\#\equiv_{\mathcal{L},a}b$ and $\delta_M(\varphi(x,b^\#))<\delta_M(\psi(x,a))$.*

Proof. Assume that no $b^\#$ exists as in the conclusion. We then use that to get $K\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $K|\varphi_\omega(x,b^\#)|\geq|\psi_\omega(x,a)|$ for all $b^\#\in V_\omega^M$ with $b^\#\equiv_{\mathcal{L},a}b$. In fact, by saturation again, there is $\chi(x,a)\in\mathrm{tp}^M_{\mathcal{L}}(b/a)$ such that $K|\varphi_\omega(x,b^\#)|\geq|\psi_\omega(x,a)|$ for all $b^\#\models\chi_\omega(x,a)$.

Fix L sufficiently large (depending only on k and K) and take $(b_i)_{i<L}$ from $V_{<\omega}^M$ satisfying $\chi_\omega(x,a)$ and such that $\{\varphi(x,b_i)\ :\ i<L\}$ is k-inconsistent. In particular, we have $K|\varphi_\omega(x,b_i)|\geq|\psi_\omega(x,a)|$ for all $i<L$. As in [1], if L is sufficiently large, we get $i_1<\ldots<i_k<L$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^k\mu_{\psi_\omega}(\varphi_\omega(x,b_{i_j}))>0$. In particular, there is $c\in V_\omega^M$ such that $M\models\varphi_\omega(c,b_{i_j})$ for all $j=1,\ldots,k$. It follows that $M'\models\varphi(c,b_{i_j})$ for $j=1,\ldots,k$, a contradiction. □

In the previous result, if we have $\psi(x,a)$ and $\varphi(x,b)$ formulae with parameters from N such that $\varphi(x,b)$ implies $\psi(x,a)$ and $\varphi(x,b)$ forks over a, then we can apply the previous result with $\psi(x,a')$ and $\varphi(x,b')$. It should not be too surprising that, even in this situation, we need to look in M' for the desired witness to dimension drop as N is usually not saturated enough to see this dimension drop.

Combining the previous proposition with the remarks made in the previous paragraph yields the main result of this note:

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that $\psi(x,a)$ and $\phi(x,b)$ are existential $\mathcal{L}$-formulae with parameters from N such that $\phi(x,b)$ implies $\psi(x,a)$ and $\phi(x,b)$ divides over a. Then there is an elementary extension M of N, an extension of δ_N to a quasidimension δ_M on M, and a $b^\# \in M$ with $b^\# \equiv_{\mathcal{L},a} b$ and $\delta_M(\phi(x,b^\#)) < \delta_M(\psi(x,a)) = \delta_N(\psi(x,a))$.*

Remark 2.10. Note that a similar argument applies to an arbitrary relational language by taking $\mathcal{L}_0$ and an $\mathcal{L}_0$-structure N and letting T be the theory of the Morleyization of N.

Remark 2.11. Note that the same construction applies, with only the obvious changes, to theories in continuous logic.

References

[1] Dario García. A note on pseudofinite dimensions and forking. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2014.

[2] Ehud Hrushovski. Stable group theory and approximate subgroups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(1):189–243, 2012.

[3] Ehud Hrushovski. On pseudo-finite dimensions. *Notre Dame J. Form. Log.*, 54(3-4):463–495, 2013.

University of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, Science and Engineering Offices (M/C 249), 851 S. Morgan St., Chicago, IL 60607-7045, USA

E-mail address: isaac@math.uic.edu

URL: www.math.uic.edu/~isaac

University of Pennsylvania, Department of Mathematics, 209 S. 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395

E-mail address: htowsner@math.upenn.edu

URL: www.sas.upenn.edu/~htowsner